

PRESENT: David Stringfellow Chairman  
 Paul Ziarnowski Vice Chairman  
 Jennifer Lucachik Secretary  
 David Bowen  
 Jim Liegl  
 Mitch Martin  
 Mary Ann Rood

ALSO Sarah desJardins Planning Consultant Town attorney  
 PRESENT: Thelma Faulring Secretary to the Boards and Committees  
 Kelly Rood Visitor  
 Donald Schreiber Applicant – Boston Self Storage – 7849 Boston State Road  
 Ken Kloeber, P.E. Hamburg Overhead Door  
 Jennifer Kuhn Hamburg Overhead Door  
 Jason Engel Hamburg Overhead Door

Chairman Stringfellow called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM.

**Minutes**

Mr. Stringfellow asked if there any corrections of additions to the minutes of March 10, 2015, being none Dr. Ziarnowski made a motion to accept the minutes, seconded by Mrs. Lucachik, all were in favor of the motion.

**General Correspondence**

Secretary Faulring reported:

- Code Enforcement Officer Ferguson’s combined end of month reports for March and April
- At point correspondence
  - Town Engineer’s review for Boston Self Storage and Hamburg Overhead Door
  - Town Planner’s review for Boston Self Storage and Hamburg Overhead Door

**Site Plan Review – Boston Self Storage – 7869 Boston State Road**

Chairman Stringfellow recapped the application: for those who were not present at the March 10 meeting:

- Mr. Schreiber wants to install a set of temporary buildings, temporary in the sense that while they are of significant size you can pick up one unit, which is half, on a forklift and move it if needed so there is no foundation
- They do not qualify as permanent
- If you look at the site plan if you were to move one it would have to be moved off the site, because they barely fit
- He has applied for and received a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for the rear yard setback, the rear of the building is going to be 5 feet from the property line, the Code requires 30 feet, on the other hand the property behind him is a steep bank and a power line

Mr. Stringfellow: I’m unsure how to handle this because our Code does not really ‘temporary buildings.’ We have Mr. Hannon’s letter essentially says: ‘this is a Type II action which does not require any further consideration,’ so we don’t have to worry about that; ‘the front yard setback while it shows at 50 feet, it does not scale to 50 feet.’ and he doesn’t know if it is at 50 feet or not; his other comment is that the site plan does not contain the appropriate form and content to meet the requirements of Town code Chapter 97. I’m not sure where this falls in the Town code because it is not a permanent structure; on the other hand once it’s put there I think we all expect that it is going to stay there, not something that he is intending to take down again. Any other comments from any other members?

Dr. Ziarnowski: Northside, eastside, if you're going to go permanent or temporary, after the variance the setback we talked about that.

Mr. Schreiber: I guess either or the pricing comes out to almost the same. It doesn't matter, I like a permanent look to it better, so when it's there it's there.

Mr. Kobiolka had the following comments:

- there's really no anchor to the building
- I think there is a big difference in terms of taxing ability
- This was not proposed when you originally came to this Board
- We rezoned property for you
- We extended the units
- There was never any discussion about an extension of this proposed property
- If Mr. Schreiber does this why can't I put 5 in my backyard or 6 or 10? There is no real tax base to this at all
- It is less than a mobile home park
- I don't know if this Board really would like to have the tax assessor take a closer look at this, because this is not really expanding our tax base
- The shrubbery which you have in the front what's going to be maximum height of these shrubs 24 inches? They were to be screening

Mr. Schreiber: The existing going across the front are supposed to be growing together and a little bit higher; bit I don't know did you expect it higher? They are going to take a little bit of time, this is the third year, I don't know

Mr. Kobiolka:

- They're only 12, 18 inches
- I would like to have the time to back through the secretary's original file and see what was originally proposed by Mr. Schreiberman
- I envision something a little bit different and I envisioned that this was a total and complete project and not coming back to the Board with additional stuff
- Especially on a temporary basis, that really doesn't do anything for the Town

Mr. Schreiber: We could go permanent. I guess the reason we didn't was because of the zoning rules, because there really isn't anything as far as temporary structures. Like out building for residential buildings.

Mr. Kobiolka:

- This is isn't residential, this commercial right on the nice road that we have 105 homes going across the street
- Are we going to put temporary boxes in there

Mr. Schreiber: Would you rather have the permanent? I'll shoot more for a permanent structure vs. the temporary if that's what you're...

Mr. Kobiolka:

- That's up to the Board
- But you've already got 20 or 30 in the front there with little bushes there about that high
- Doesn't really do a whole lot for our residential across the street

Dr. Ziarnowski: Michael were you here when we had the discussion meeting?

Mr. Kobiolka: No I'm sorry I missed it.

Dr. Ziarnowski: He was going to go either way and the reason he wanted to go temporary was because then he didn't have to diddle around with the variance. Right?

Mr. Schreiber: Correct.

Dr. Ziarnowski: We said that he should go for the variance and then he would have his choice to go either way.

Mr. Martin: We did say that.

Dr. Ziarnowski: That was the thinking, that was why he went for the variance. So now he has the variance he can put permanent structures on, which he could not do, and the only reason then he wanted temporary was not for the sake of temporary being the issued but the fact that he couldn't put permanent on there because he didn't have a setback variance.

Mr. Kobiolka: And what does that do for your tax base?

Dr. Ziarnowski: I'm not arguing that point. My point is the fact that you were not here and we discussed all this so I'm just bringing you up to speed.

Mr. Kobiolka: Thank you.

Dr. Ziarnowski: You're welcome.

Mr. Stringfellow: The Town Planner's comments are that the property is zoned C-2, the setback is not the 30 feet and the variance has been granted; Planning Board may want to request that some landscaping be installed on the north and south side of the metal storage buildings to soften the look.

Mr. Stringfellow: I believe there are entrances on both the north and south sides.

Mr. Schreiber: On the new building there are two doors on the ends; doors down the sides and nothing on the rear.

Mr. Stringfellow: I think we would very much want to see the landscaping, the shielding that is there now extended as much as possible to hide the buildings. When we considering screenings across the front one of your concerns was that a large part of what sells these storage units is people being able to see that they are storage units and I think we compromised with a screening that covers part of the building but not all. How well that has worked out, will have to be checked out.

Mrs. Lucachik: The roof is also different colors from the existing building, is that going to change?

Mr. Schreiber: You have the white roof on the big addition building; then our newest ones have black but they have what they call 'galalume' which is actually a silver color. But you can't really see that, it's the same as, the new building will be exactly the same as our new addition to the old existing building. It's going to look almost the same going down through.

Mrs. desJardins: Could you think about where you could put in some landscaping in that new section?

Mr. Schreiber: It can't be right up next to the building away from the buildings in the lawn areas I could do something. Our problem up there is with the snowplowing, we've got to be able to load snow, and if start loading everything up with landscaping they are just going to take a beating year after year.

Mr. Kobiolka: If I can just briefly comment upon what Mr. Schreiber said about a large part is a visible building. You own the units over in Eden correct?

Mr. Schreiber: Correct.

Mr. Kobiolka: And how far are those off the road?

Mr. Schreiber: That sits back we went back 49 feet.

Mr. Kobiolka: No you're more than 50 feet, you're 150 feet.

Mr. Schreiber: To our front office, our very first office is probably about 50 feet back.

Mr. Kobiolka: But your units are 150 feet back, if not 200.

Mr. Schreiber: From our front building it starts heading back.

Mr. Kobiolka: I don't think the visibility of the units are really a main factor. This Board wants to keep a nice pleasant atmosphere in Town in a residential area; like I said you have 105 units going in across the street, you've got home \$200,000.00 - \$300,000.00 and to line the whole Boston State Road with portable boxes...it's up to this Board.

Dr. Ziarnowski: I think that anyone we've dealt with while I've been on this Board and the projects that have been completed pretty much to how we've asked them to be completed. I think that the person before us has done a pretty good job of it. He's been easy to work with; so I think the time to beat him up might have been at the last session, not tonight.

Mr. Kobiolka: I don't think anybody is looking to beat anybody up Paul, what we're looking at is the realistics of what we have and you've got a bunch of units right on Boston State Road, with little vegetation about 18 inches, which is not going to grow, it's been there for three years and it's not going to screen anything and what you're going to have is 105 units which this Board approved across the street. How are you going to rent these units or sell these units, when you've got portable units across the street? Just my comments, that's all, whatever the Board decides.

Dr. Ziarnowski: I'm concerned the 50 foot setback, that's not Code like Hannon said.

Mr. Stringfellow: He has not gone out and measured he has just said looking at the drawing it does not scale to 50 feet, it's scaling a little short.

Mr. Schreiber: Nussbaumer can verify that. If it's at 48 then all we have to do is shift the building to get to that 50 feet.

Mr. Stringfellow: If need be you could shift that toward the existing building.

Mr. Schreiber: We only have 30 feet coming around; we always like to maintain 30 feet wide

Mrs. Rood: When we first started out you were discussing going permanent vs. the temporary , you said that the cost came out the same, what we're you talking about, it came out the same vs. ...

Mr. Schreiber: The temporaries for us to purchase and build vs. just building the permanent; putting the concrete slab, just like the other one.

Mrs. Rood: So there's not that much difference?

Mr. Schreiber: No not really.

Mrs. Rood: And with the variance you can do that.

Mr. Schreiber; Yes, they approved the 5 foot for any structure.

Mr. Bowen: Did you say that the snow removal for this year was in the area where you're going to place the buildings?

Mr. Schreiber: We were placing everything into the front, we like to bring everything to the front, where the new buildings and they're only 10 feet wide so they're going to be sitting up into that hill area, so we will be able to go right past it and still push the snow out front; but we still have to leave ourselves a good 25 feet so that people can get to the units and around.

Mr. Bowen: With the snowfall that was experienced this year would you still be able to do that?

Mr. Schreiber: Yes. And besides that we have loaders and everything..

Mrs. Rood: I actually think it's great marketing on his part because you have all those apartments going in that are geared for senior citizens, they're going to be wanting storage.

Mr. Schreiber: That's the reason we're doing it; we're full and more and more people, it's just supply and demand.

Mrs. Rood: Otherwise they're selling off their stuff when they move into these places, so they want that storage right there.

Mr. Kobiolka: And I see your point, take it, put it off the road, don't devalue the value of Boston.

Mrs. Rood: I understand that so if he...

Mr. Kobiolka: That's what we're doing.

Mrs. Rood. I understand that, so he put in some plantings, I don't know where else he would put it other than where it is proposed. I looked at other areas and there is just no room to maneuver in and out of the storages that you already have. So I don't see you being able to come back and ask for more than this because there is no more space other than like landslide going into the back of it.

Mr. Schreiber: No, this is it. Honestly when we first came we, again just the zoning we picked those right in . Then Trachte Building Systems said we do have what's called their micro-systems and they worked with on right-of-ways with these temporaries.

Discussion followed about possible placement of plantings.

Mr. Stringfellow: Mr. Kobiolka, you asked , privately when we discussing, that we delay this long enough for you to...

Mr. Kobiolka: I would like to take a look at the file that Mr. Schreiber had had back in 2013 because I thought this was the total development , and I thought that's why the Town Board rezoned that from C-1 to to C-2 so that he could extend it , but that was it. And now coming back 2 years later and saying I want to put in another 10 units.

Dr. Ziarnowski: Was that resolved, I don't think...

Mr. Kobiolka: Yes, it was.

Dr. Ziarnowski: Not on his watch.

Mr. Kobiolka: Yes, on his watch. From C-1 to C-2 because the front part was supposed to be a .....

Dr. Ziarnowski: Miniature golf course .

Discussion followed regarding the rezoning with several people talking at once.

Mr. Stringfellow: It sounds like we need to table this until the next meeting.

Mr. Kobiolka: Right. Thelma would have the minutes saying that if this Board recommended a rezoning on it, on the north parcel. Thelma am I correct?

Secretary Faulring read from the Planning Board minutes of April 9, 2013:

“Mr. Chelus made a motion to recommend to the Town Board approval of the site plan received dated April 2, 2013, seconded by Dr. Ziarnowski.

Mr. Stringfellow: Is there any discussion on that motion?

Mr. Kobiolka: I’m comfortable with the rezoning change, since this is used as a C-2 already, and has been there for years.

Mr. Stringfellow: Is there any further discussion?

Mr. Bowen: For my clarification when are the adjacent property owners notified of the rezoning?

Mr. Kobiolka: They will be notified when it gets back to the Town Board and the Town Board will schedule a date and ask the Town Clerk to publish in in the paper and the adjoining property owners will be notified by mail. Anyone wanting to speak for or against the rezoning could do so at the Public Hearing.

Mr. Stringfellow: Any other questions?

There was no further discussion and the motion was approved unanimously by those members present.”

Those present were: Mr. Stringfellow, Mr. Chelus, Mrs. Lucachik, Mr. Bowen and Dr. Ziarnowski,

(Please note: There was a motion made regarding rezoning in the April 9, 2013 minutes but was not read into these minutes.)

Mr. Stringfellow: So did you go through rezoning?

Mr. Schreiber: AI thought, I’ll save time because I don’t know. I remember that it was C-2 of course that ‘s actually what it’s zoned for and that’s what is always a C-2 , basically a C-2. But then somebody said it was never legally put it into C-2, that’s the way I understood it.

Secretary Faulring: You would have to check the Town Board minutes, I have here on May 15, 2013 the Town Board approved the rezoning and the site plan.

Mr. Schreiber: So they kind of reaffirmed that is was a C-2...

Mr. Kobiolka: They didn’t reaffirm they rezoned it from C-1 to C-2 because that wasn’t supposed to be developed as a commercial project in the front and the Town Board made an accommodation to you because you needed that extension, so the Town Board extended that courtesy , this Board recommended it, the Town Board approved it at a Public Hearing and that was supposed to be it on the development.

Mr. Schreiber: I didn’t know that was supposed to be it.

Dr. Ziarnowski: That piece that was there wasn’t in C-2 originally?

Mr. Schreiber: You’re saying the whole piece...

Mr. Kobiolka: No.

Mr. Schreiber: It was a C-1...

Mr. Kobiolka: The front part the north part is C-1 because that was supposed to be developed as a...

Secretary Faulring: Miniature golf course.

Mr. Kobiolka: miniature golf course which was C-1 and which was allowed. Your development for storage of stuff was a C-2 and had to be rezoned to extend what you wanted to do and that’s what the Board did. It was the understanding, it was my understanding, I think it was the Town Board’s understanding that was to be the total development .

Discussion followed regarding the conditions, if any, that were or were not put on at the time of rezoning.

Mr. Kobiolka: What I need to advise is does anybody have an interest this project other than just as a Planning Board member? It should be disclosed. If not, yeah right we have to take a look at it and yes he can propose it, he can do what he wants to do, he can apply for whatever he want to do and it's up to the Board.

Mr. Stringfellow: It's up to the Town Board isn't it?

Mr. Kobiolka: I think it's zoned C-2 so it's up to this Board.

Mrs. Lucachik: I don't really a problem with what he's trying to do because this is it, there is nothing else he can do on this property and I like the idea that it's temporary because if for some reason he wins the lottery and moves away and someone else buys that land and wants to do something else with it those are removable easily, there's no concrete and that is exactly what that was meant for when it was zoned C-2; I don't really have a problem other putting a bit more picturesque look to it with some the plantings, people are looking and moving to the Town of Boston because they want to move away from the city area.

Mr. Stringfellow: At this point we have two choices and whoever wants to make a motion is free to do so. We can simply recommend that the Town Board approve it or we can table it and take time to look at what happened in the past and see if that makes any difference or not. My inclination is to play it safe and table it.

Dr. Ziarnowski: I'd like to know if they are going to be permanent or temporary, I'd like that decision before next time.

Mr. Schreiber: It is really up to this Board if you would like to see it one way or another, I can go either way.

Mr. Martin: I make a motion to table it.

Mr. Bowen: I would second it.

Mr. Stringfellow: Any discussion on tabling?

Mr. Stringfellow: I will add one comment if it is a permanent building then it does at least add something to the tax structure, probably not much but at least something, where a temporary building would not so to that extent it is more advantageous to the Town to have a permanent building.

Mr. Schreiber: Everybody's pretty much agreed to that. Then that's what we will do and plan on a permanent and I will also come up with some landscaping for the front and verify the frontage.

All were in favor of the motion to table.

### **Site Plan Review – Hamburg Overhead Door – 5659 Herman Hill Road**

Mr. Stringfellow summarized the Town Engineer review:

- Landscape plan is a work in progress;

Mr. Stringfellow: I believe what I received had a landscape plan with it.

Mr. Kloeber: If I could explain what happened I talked to Jim this afternoon apparently he didn't receive the landscape plan for whatever reason so I e-mailed to him. That's why he made that comment

Mr. Stringfellow: continued

- Disturbance is less than an acre
- Runoff will be into the existing detention facility which is large enough to hold it
- The materials submitted is sufficient to conduct environmental review
- It's not a Type I application, not a Type II, therefore an Unlisted action
- He recommends that the Town Board take Leas Agency for SEQR Review and since there are no other agencies it is called an uncoordinated review
- No significant impact on the environment
- He recommends that the Town Board issue a Negative Declaration
- The Planning Board should include these environmental review comments in the site plan review report to the Town Board
- The applicant has requested that the SEQR Process be expedited, the modest nature of the project in the similar environmental review was carried out with the last 3 years for the addition of garages in back

- We concur and suggest that the Town Board assume Lead Agency Classified as an Unlisted Action and perform an uncoordinated review and make a Negative Declaration at their next regularly scheduled meeting.
- This should be accomplished before the Board acts to approve or disapprove the site plan

Mr. Stringfellow: Since it is the Town Board that approves site plans I assume that the Board he is talking about is the Town Board and not the Planning Board ?

Mr. Kloeber: Right, and the background on that was just simply I had asked, had talked with Councilman Genzel and asked him if there would be any issue if the Town Board did all that in one night. And he said he didn't think so because it was such a small and insignificant project..

Mr. Kobiolka: When you say be done in one meeting..

Mr. Kloeber: Declare itself lead agency because it's uncoordinated, issue a Neg. Dec. and act on the site plan and approve it or disapprove it all in the same night.

Mr. Kobiolka: Well this Board has to approve the site plan before...

Mr. Kloeber: At whatever the Town Board did, they could do it all in one night. Jim said he didn't have an issue with that.

Mr. Stringfellow read the Engineer review:

1. The property is zoned C-2
2. Very impressed with the applicant's engineer's submittal, it is complete, well organized and detailed
3. The proposed addition meets all the requirements of the zoning code relative to set backs, height of the building, number of parking spaces, etc.
4. Landscaping plan is quite detailed
5. No adverse comments from the Town Planner

Mr. Stringfellow: I have looked at the drawings and site plan and I have no adverse comments either. At this point is there anyone else on the Board who wants to speak.

Mr. Kobiolka: Could you just briefly run through this, what are we doing?

Mr. Kloeber:

- Right now they have two rooms
- What we're looking to do is putting on a 78 by 75 foot extension on the front of the current office building
- Wood frame, brick veneer structure

Mr. Kobiolka: What is the purpose of the structure?

Mr. Kloeber: Right now that they use for showroom and it's inadequate, it doesn't fit the needs, Jeff wants to bring it up 'the best showroom in WNY'

(Much of Mr. Kloeber's review included pointing to a plan laying on the table)

Mr. Kloeber:

- This is the current office area
- This is the expansion out here
- We're going to flip offices out to there
- Make this showroom so this whole area from here to here will all now be showroom, with a reception desk, lot of displays

Mr. Kobiolka: Which portion is the expansion?

Mr. Kloeber: This portion out here is the expansion/ So part of the existing office will become showroom and part of the new expansion will become showroom, part of the office, this is basically the customer service area and the reception area, that will be flipped over into the new area.

Mr. Kobiolka: Are we actually looking to double?

Mr. Kloeber: We're actually adding about 6,000 we're about doubling the area there. This is the current showroom here and that's going to be used for other purposes maybe part department, commercial displays, so if you count this area we're probably not quite doubling it.

Mr. Kobiolka: This is going to be a permanent structure, not one that you can pick up and move?

Mr. Kloeber: It's going to be quite permanent. What we're looking at doing is basically trying to match the existing façade on it. It's brick, wood frame, brick veneer, we've looking to try and match the brick that was on there. It's going to be a little more elaborate on the Herman Hill side to dress it up, this will be the Herman Hill exposure, and this would be the side exposure, this is the current showroom and the expansion out, so we have a new entrance.

Dr. Ziarnowski: Lights?

Mr. Kloeber: We've got some lights and that was in your package. They're basically just wall-mounted sconce type things, shielded.

Mr. Kobiolka: What's the approximate cost?

Mr. Kloeber: I don't think we've done a budget on it yet. It's going to quite a piece, it's substantial.

Miss Kuhn: It's going to be a big investment. For my brother and I for taking over, our future. We have about 20 in there right now and the ability to add more customer service .

Mr. Kobiolka: You have all the land to Boston State Road; any thoughts about that?

Miss Kuhn: We're holding on to that. I have my own dreams for that someday./ We had the plaza plan back in 2007.

Mr. Kloeber went on to describe an abandoned project not relative to these minutes.

Mr. Stringfellow: Any other comments from Planning Board members? If there are none would someone make a motion that we recommend.

Mrs. Lucachik: I make a motion that we send the site plan submitted by Hamburg Overhead Door to the Town Board with a favorable recommendation that it be approved; and that the Town Board assume Lead Agency status and issue a Negative Declaration on the project.

Mr. Martin: Second.

Mr. Stringfellow: Is there any discussion on the motion. Being none, all in favor say aye.

All were in favor of the motion.

### **Non-Agenda item**

Secretary Faulring: The Town Board has set their summer schedule for Wednesday, July 1 and Wednesday August 5. Do you want to set Planning Board summer schedule.

Following discussion it was decided to set the summer schedule at the June 9, 2015 meeting.

### **Town Board Liaison – Councilman Boardway**

Not in attendance this evening.

Mr. Stringfellow: Did he give anything to Thelma or the Town Attorney?

Secretary Faulring: Nothing to me.

Mr. Kobiolka: Nothing to me.

### **Town Attorney – Mr. Kobiolka**

Mr. Kobiolka: ; Some people showed up at the meeting we had on April 30th . The Community Services, which is a not for profit 501 3 c agency wants to put a group home up on the corner of Cole and Omphalius., We had a 3½ - 6 hour hearing. Many of our representatives were there Senator Gallivan was there along with Mitch Martin, Assemblyman David DiPietro , Chairman Mills, Erie County Legislator, were there and all spoke against it. I presented several witnesses including the firemen, emergency squad, the highway department, a couple of other individuals from Town, plus other individuals spoke against it. We already have two group homes in this Town, we have a third one Chestnut Ridge Road right across the road from the Town of Boston so in our territory, so we have three group homes. Colden has none, Concord has one. The issue was whether or not there's a concentration - Thelma you don't have to report all of this, this is public record anyway. Our argument was that we a volunteer town like everyone sitting here, all the firemen, the emergency squad all volunteers. How much can the state throw off on us, before over burdening us? From April 30<sup>th</sup> the State has 30 days to make a decision, so we're waiting on that and it's very hard to overturn them.

Parts of Mr. Kobiolka: comments included:

- Why would you put someone with disabilities on top a hill that gets more snow than anywhere else in WNY.
- What if someone has a heart attack, they aren't going to be able to get to them
- I have one right near my house, I never see anyone outside, I have no problem with it.
- The Town's concern is that there is a lot of viable property up there view of Canada, the lake
- I hate to say it but it does get down to money
- There million dollar home up there +
- With a home like that up there it's going to drive down the value of other homes

Mrs. Rood: They have to tell you if sex offenders move in don't they?

Mr. Martin: Once they move in they have to notify the local Law Enforcement, and the services if they are level 1 or 2 sex offenders.

Discussion followed regarding the splits that have been made from one parcel of land that involves these two lots

Mr. Kobiolka: Thelma are we off the record?

Secretary Faulring: No.

Mr. Stringfellow: Is there any limited number of years, there's none in the Code that I could find.

Dr. Ziarnowski: In the State there is.

Mr. Stringfellow: It doesn't make sense not to have it but I don't see any.

Mr. Kobiolka: The only other comment that I have is with the expansion of the Boston Storage I'm all in favor of expansion, I'm all in favor of business, I'm all in favor the gentleman doing whatever he can to maximize the property but these are portable units, they are no tax in value to the Town of Boston at all. I think the shrubbery that he put there short to say the least, and I know the storage units that he has over in Eden are 200 feet off the road, so they're not right in everybody's face; just not there. That's all thank you.

Discussion followed regarding the 'temporary' units; having one in a backyard; what exactly is considered temporary.

Dr. Ziarnowski: We had that discussion at the meeting when you guys weren't here. We sat for an hour and a half taking about it; we went through every scenario possible. And the outcome was go for the variance and see what you can do with those options. And he got his variance.

Discussion followed about taxing..

Dr. Ziarnowski: What's the deal with Telaak saying no to Romanowski by not signing off on it, what's the legality?

Secretary Faulring: That's done, that's taken care of.

Mr. Kobiolka: I don't want this in the minutes.

Mr. Stringfellow: I'll make a motion to adjourn

Mrs. Lucachik: I'll second.

All were in favor the motion to adjourn.

Respectfully submitted,

Thelma Faulring  
Secretary to Boards and Committees